



REPLY TO  
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
UNITED STATES ARMY LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY  
901 NORTH STUART STREET  
ARLINGTON, VA 22203-1837

December 18, 2000

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2000

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Magalie Roman Salas  
Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.  
TW-A325  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Subject: Comments of DOD in WT Docket No. 99-217, CC Docket No. 96-98 and  
CC Docket No. 88-57

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced proceeding are an original and four copies of the Comments of the U.S. Department of Defense.

Two additional copies are included as this proceeding consists of three dockets.

An additional copy is also being filed with the Commissioner's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc.

Sincerely,

ROBERT N. KITTEL  
Counsel for the  
Department of Defense

cc:  
Chairman Kennard  
Commissioner Furchgott-Roth  
Commissioner Ness  
Commissioner Tristani  
Commissioner Powell  
International Transcription Services, Inc.

No. of Copies rec'd HL  
List A B C D E

**BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554**

**RECEIVED**  
DEC 19 2000  
FCC MAIL ROOM

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Promotion of Competitive Networks in Local	)	WT Docket No. 99-217
Telecommunications Markets	)	
	)	
Wireless Communications Association	)	
International, Inc. Petition for Rulemaking to	)	
Amend Section 1.4000 of the Commission's Rules	)	
To Preempt Restrictions on Subscriber Premises	)	
Reception or Transmission Antennas Designed to	)	
Provide Fixed Wireless Services	)	
	)	
Implementation of the Local Competition	)	CC Docket No. 96-98
Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996	)	
	)	
Review of Sections 68.104, and 68.213 of	)	CC Docket No. 88-57
the Commission's Rules Concerning Connection	)	
of Simple Inside Wiring to the Telephone Network	)	

**COMMENTS  
of the  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Robert N. Kittel, Chief  
Regulatory Law Office  
Office of the Judge Advocate  
General  
U.S. Army Litigation Center  
901 N. Stuart Street – Suite 713  
Arlington, VA 22203-1837  
(703)696-1640

DATE DUE:  
December 22, 2000

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
Summary .....	i
I. Introduction .....	1
II. DOD's Circumstances Are Unique .....	2
1. Military Telecommunications Systems Are Unique .....	3
2. Personnel Who Reside On Military Installations Are Unique.....	5
3. The Unique Requirements Of The Military May Require Exclusive Contracts....	6
4. Telecommunications Services On Military Bases Are Procured Competitively.....	6
5. Telecommunication Service Concession Contracts.....	7
III. DOD Seeks Exemption From The Commission's Nondiscriminatory Access Rules.....	10
IV. Conclusion .....	11

## **Summary**

DOD supports the Commission's efforts to ensure that competing telecommunications providers are able to provide services to customers in multiple tenant environments.

However, the unique characteristics of military installations and the telecommunications systems that serve them require that the military services (both appropriated and non-appropriated activities) have the flexibility to contract with individual providers without fear that the contracts will be compromised by claims of non-discriminatory access by competing vendors.

For this reason, DOD respectfully requests that buildings located on military installations and the activities of appropriated and non-appropriated fund entities of the DOD be exempt from the Commission's nondiscriminatory access rules.

**BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Promotion of Competitive Networks in Local	)	WT Docket No. 99-217
Telecommunications Markets	)	
	)	
Wireless Communications Association	)	
International, Inc. Petition for Rulemaking to	)	
Amend Section 1.4000 of the Commission's Rules	)	
To Preempt Restrictions on Subscriber Premises	)	
Reception or Transmission Antennas Designed to	)	
Provide Fixed Wireless Services	)	
	)	
Implementation of the Local Competition	)	CC Docket No. 96-98
Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996	)	
	)	
Review of Sections 68.104, and 68.213 of	)	CC Docket No. 88-57
the Commission's Rules Concerning Connection	)	
of Simple Inside Wiring to the Telephone Network	)	

**COMMENTS  
of the  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

The United States Department of Defense ("DOD") submits these Comments in response to the Commission's Further Notice of Proposal Rulemaking in WT Docket No. 99-217 released on October 25, 2000 ("Notice").

**I. Introduction**

DOD has for many years strongly endorsed the Commission's efforts to foster effective competition in all telecommunications markets, including the market for local exchange services. For this reason, DOD supports the Commission's actions to ensure that competing

telecommunications providers are able to provide services to customers in multiple tenant environments ("MTEs"). However, DOD is concerned with the likelihood that rules which might seem reasonable in such environments could become counter-productive in the types of facilities operated by DOD.

As discussed herein, the unique characteristics of military installations and the telecommunications systems that serve them require that the military services (both appropriated and non-appropriated fund activities) have the flexibility to contract with individual providers without fear that the contracts will be compromised by claims of non-discriminatory access by competing vendors. DOD is not seeking to preserve monopolies. To the contrary, the military services are required by statute to procure any product or service, including telecommunications, through competitive bidding so long as there are multiple potential providers available. Thus, the "exclusive" contracts that DOD might sign with specific telecommunications providers are the result - not a contradiction - of the competitive market for telephone services.

Specifically paragraph 152b of the Notice asserts "In addition, representatives of federal, state, and local governments argue that buildings which they own or control should not be subject to any nondiscriminatory access requirements." DOD raised this issue with respect to DOD buildings in comments filed in response to the original notice in this proceeding. DOD respectfully requests that buildings located on DOD installations and the activities of appropriated and nonappropriated fund entities of DOD be exempt from these non-discriminatory access requirements. DOD's comments relate only to DOD facilities and operations. DOD takes no position with regard to the applicability of non-discriminatory access requirements to other federal buildings.

## **II. DOD's Circumstances Are Unique**

To understand DOD's concerns, it is necessary to review some of the unique characteristics of DOD's circumstances.

### **1. Military Telecommunications Systems Are Unique**

While DOD does own and lease conventional office buildings and even some residential apartment complexes, the more typical DOD facility is characterized as a "base" by the Air Force, a "station" by the Navy, and a "post" by the Army. It typically occupies a fairly large land area, from several acres to many square miles. The buildings are not concentrated in one location, but often are distributed over an extended area. They are rarely large, multistoried structures. More typically, they are a fairly large collection of relatively small buildings, each assigned a specific use.

These buildings are connected by telephone cables and their supporting poles and conduits, all of which are presumably categorized as access facilities controlled by the property owner, and the subject of this rulemaking. Yet these facilities have the following unique characteristics:

- Survivability - Military communications must be capable of surviving natural disasters and hostile attacks, which require special system architectural modifications. For example, in order to provide added protection for critical users, a telecommunications provider may be required to install and maintain geographically

separate on-base cabling to multiple users in the same building. Additionally, users may be served by switches located on different parts of the base, or by a switch on a totally different base.

- Availability - In support of national security, an availability of 99.997% is required of telecommunications services. This standard substantially exceeds that required for normal commercial services.
- Assured Service - The military requires a high level of assurance that selected users will be provided non-interrupted service during specific times to meet national security requirements. Measures such as multi-level precedence and preemption ("MLPP") and dual homing of single subscribers on two switches are employed to meet those requirements. MLPP assigns a priority level to subscribers with an associated scheme for completion of calls, and preemption of lower priority calls when traffic approaches the capacity of the facilities.
- Priority Restoration - Certain military users as well as selected tenants require restoration of services in shorter timeframes than is normally provided by conventional commercial services. In some cases, a one-hour restoration time is too long.
- Priority Provisioning of Services - Similar to priority restoration, certain military users or other tenants require provision or termination of new services in extremely short timeframes. An example is pierside access to naval vessels.



- HEMP Protection - Selected buildings on military bases require protection against high electronic magnetic pulse ("HEMP"), a consideration rarely, if ever, encountered in conventional commercial systems.
- Grade of Service Requirement - The military requirement for grade of service is P.01, which means that there must be no more than a one percent probability of call blockage. The commercial standard is P.03 to P.05. This standard requirement may vary, however, among specific stations, buildings or tenants on a given base.

## **2. Personnel Who Reside On Military Installations Are Unique**

DOD maintains and provides housing for military service members and their families at military installations. These residential units include (for service members and their families) multi-family structures, townhouse type units and single family dwellings. Unmarried service members are provided housing as well in multi-tenant structures - similar to "hotel suite" type facilities.

Occupants of DOD residential facilities differ from occupants of private, off-installation housing, in a number of important aspects.

- They normally reside on a given installation for a relatively short period of time, usually less than 2-3 years.

- Unmarried servicemembers often deploy for months at a time leaving their housing vacant.
- Unmarried servicemembers are normally young and with limited financial resources (and market power).
- When military occupants leave installation housing it is normally to move out of the area to another state or to a foreign location.

### **3. The Unique Requirements Of The Military May Require Exclusive Contracts**

These unique requirements usually mean that the telecommunications networks on most military bases must be specifically designed for the needs of the installation and its occupants. They are rarely "plain vanilla" telephone systems. Usually, the special requirements add costs that would never be encountered in conventional office or residential environments. In addition the unique characteristics of the military personnel who reside on post require present special problems relating to telecommunications services.

### **4. Telecommunications Services on Military Bases Are Procured Competitively**

The need to designate one entity as the "exclusive" telecommunications provider to a military base or portions thereof does not mean that there is no competition for that service. To the contrary, the military services are required by statute to procure competitively any and all

services that could be provided by multiple vendors.<sup>1</sup> DOD has been a leader in seeking competitive opportunities in the new competitive environment related to local telecommunication services

To illustrate, between January 1998 and May 1999, the Air Force solicited bids for local telephone access service on 33 bases (all with greater than \$100,000 annual billings). The resultant competitive awards yielded savings of \$15.6 million, for an average cost reduction of 32.2 percent. Corresponding savings from competitive bidding during the period July 1996 through December 1997 came to \$19.5 million, for an average cost reduction of 33.5 percent. Contract awards have been spread fairly evenly between incumbents and new entrants.

#### **5. Telecommunications Service Concession Contracts**

Concession contracts provide valuable telecommunications service opportunities to service members who occupy barracks type facilities and provide critically needed revenue in support of morale, welfare and recreation ("MWR") activities on military installations.

MWR activities on post provide critically important support activities for military personnel and their families, such as recreational activities, family support programs and other off duty activities. These MWR activities receive some appropriated fund support but also receive a significant part of their funding from user fees and contributions from non-appropriated fund activities.

---

<sup>1</sup> See 48 CFR 206

Military exchanges on posts, operated by the Army and Air Force Exchange Service (“AAFES”) on Army and Air Force installations, the Navy Exchange Service (“NEXCOM”) on Navy bases, the Marine Corps Exchange (“MCX”) on Marine installations, and the Coast Guard Exchange Service (“CGES”) on Coast Guard installations, provide approximately 80% of their net revenues to support MWR activities. In the case of the MCX the amount is 99%.

AAFES, NEXCOM, MCX and CGES earn income for MWR activities not only through sales at exchange stores but also through the use of concession contracts. One highly successful program relates to the award of concession contracts to provide telephone service on the military installations to occupants of military barracks (primarily unmarried or unaccompanied lower ranking enlisted personnel). For example, AAFES awarded a concession contract to Sprint for telephone service to barracks occupants which has guaranteed over \$15 million in income to AAFES since February 1997, 80% of which has been returned to military installation for MWR activities. NEXCOM, MCX and CGES are on another contract - with AT&T; \$11.34 million guaranteed annual commissions for MCX activities, \$22.9 million guaranteed annual commissions for NEXCOM activities, and \$719,000 guaranteed annual commissions for CGES activities.

These concession contracts (awarded competitively) not only provide critically needed revenue to support MWR activities for our military members and their families but also provide an outstanding value to the occupants of the barracks. The market power generated by these nationwide concession contracts provide the following benefits to the occupants of barracks as well as to the DOD:

- The ability to provide service locations in remote areas (such as Bosnia) in support of military operations worldwide.
- Very competitive rate packages for servicemembers who have limited financial resources.
- Special provisions that mitigate or eliminate penalties for wireless phone customers who move to another domicile.
- Customer support in the event of problems with the telecommunications provider.
- The exchange service monitors contracts to insure the barracks occupants are receiving the best rates for services provided.
- Having one provider available at multiple installations enables barracks occupants to establish a credit rating despite frequent moves.
- Charges for moving phone service within a barracks facility (often \$40-\$75 per move) have been eliminated.
- Savings of millions of dollars of appropriated funds which would otherwise be needed to provide the telecommunications infrastructure necessary to serve the barracks. The service provider bears all costs to install, operate, maintain and repair the infrastructure required.
- Customers in military barracks still have a choice of long distance server. The exchange concession contract is simply the default provider, similar to a hotel or dormitory, that has a contract with a specific telecommunications carrier. The occupant can still utilize "dial around" through the use of 1-800 numbers of pre-paid calling cards or direct billed cards to use another long distance provider.

In short, DOD is concerned that the proposed rules would eliminate or severely restrict the ability of DOD appropriated and non-appropriated fund entities to contract for telecommunication services in a manner consistent with the unique characteristics of the military environment and the unique needs of the military community.

### **III. DOD Seeks Exemption from the Commission's Nondiscriminatory Access Rules**

DOD submits that the unique characteristic of the military, its installations and activities, and the occupants of military installations, necessitate that military commanders retain the flexibility they currently possess with regard to the provision of telecommunication services on military installations.

Accordingly, DOD requests that buildings located on military installations and the activities of DOD appropriated and non-appropriated fund entities be exempt from the Commission's nondiscriminatory access rules.

**IV. Conclusion**

While DOD understands and fully supports the Commission's efforts to extend the benefits of local service competition to all users of telecommunications services, including tenants in multiple tenant environments, DOD believes that the unique characteristics noted above justify exemption from the Commission's nondiscriminatory access rules. For this reason, DOD respectfully requests that the Commission exempt buildings located on military installations and the activities of DOD appropriated and non-appropriated fund entities from these requirements.

Respectfully Submitted,



Robert N. Kittel, Chief

Regulatory Law Office  
Office of the Judge Advocate  
General  
U.S. Army Litigation Center  
901 N. Stuart Street, Suite 713  
Arlington, VA 22203-1837  
(703) 696-1640

for

The United States Department of  
Defense

Dated this 18th day of December 2000.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ROBERT N. KITTEL, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing "Comments of the United States Department of Defense" were served this 18th day of December, 2000, by Federal Express, to the following parties:

The Honorable William E. Kennard  
Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth  
Commissioner  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Susan Ness  
Commissioner  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Gloria Tristani  
Commissioner  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C 20554

The Honorable Michael K. Powell  
Commissioner  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C 20554

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W. TW-A325  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Editorial Offices  
Telecommunications Reports  
1333 H Street, N.W., Room 100-E  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Ms. Edith Herman  
Senior Editor  
Communications Daily  
2115 Ward Court, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20037

International Transcription Service  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room CY-B402  
Washington, D.C. 20554

  
Robert N. Kittel